How AI is Redefining Input in L2 Learning?

From Comprehensible Input to Contextually Conscious Input

Kamal Heidari (PhD)

Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand

February 2026

Theoretical Foundation: Krashen's Legacy & Its Limits

Comprehensible Input (i+1) in Historical Context

- Krashen's Input Hypothesis (1980s)
- Enduring influence

- **Critical limitations in digital age**:
  - Static proficiency modeling
  - One-size-fits-all simplification
  - Passive reception model

The AI Paradigm Shift in Language Learning

From Static Exposure to Dynamic, Intelligent Mediation

- **Key technological enablers**:
  - Large Language Models (LLMs)
  - Affective computing
  - Wearable integration
  - Reinforcement learning
- **Core transformation**: Input is no longer *delivered;* it is *co-constructed* through continuous learner-system dialogue

Defining Contextually Conscious Input

A Multi-Dimensional Framework for AI-Mediated L2 Input

Traditional Comprehensible Input	Contextually Conscious Input
Proficiency-based (i+1)	Proficiency + emotion + culture + context + physiology
Syntax simplification	Pragmatic & sociolinguistic authenticity
Universal design	Hyper-personalized, ethically bounded adaptation
Teacher as primary mediator	AI as mindful co-mediator + teacher as strategic orchestrator

- **Core principle**: Input optimizes *psychological accessibility* without sacrificing *sociocultural richness*

Dimensions of Contextual Awareness in AI Systems

Four Pillars of Intelligent Input Curation

- **Affective Dimension**
  - Real-time emotion detection (frustration, flow, anxiety) via NLP sentiment analysis + vocal prosody
  - Adaptive scaffolding: Increases support during high-anxiety moments; introduces challenge during engagement peaks
- **Sociocultural Dimension**
  - Cultural schema recognition: Adjusts examples, metaphors, pragmatics to align with learner background
  - Intercultural competence building: Deliberate exposure to diverse Englishes and communication styles
- **Temporal-Cognitive Dimension**
  - Circadian rhythm awareness: Adjusts task complexity based on time-of-day performance patterns
  - Cognitive load monitoring: Pauses or rephrases when working memory thresholds are approached
- **Physiological Dimension** (emerging)
  - Wearable integration: Typing rhythm analysis, voice stress markers, HRV for engagement calibration
  - *Ethical boundary*: Opt-in only, anonymized aggregation, learner control over data sharing

Simulating Authentic, Socially Nuanced Communication

Beyond Syntax: AI for Pragmatic & Intercultural Competence

- **Generative AI capabilities**:
  - Create role-plays with varied speech acts across cultural contexts
  - Simulate diverse interlocutors to build sociolinguistic flexibility
  - Embed "productive friction"
- **Pedagogical design principle**:
  "Authenticity without overwhelm“
- **Example**: A learner preparing for academic seminars receives AI-generated dialogues featuring:
  - Hedging language ("It could be argued that...")
  - Turn-taking cues from different English-speaking cultures
  - Adjusted in real-time based on learner's confidence indicators

Critical Challenges: Avoiding the Personalization Paradox

- The Echo Chamber Risk

Over-personalization may shield learners from linguistic diversity essential for real-world communication

Mitigation: Algorithmic "diversity injections“; scheduled exposure to varied accents, registers, cultural perspectives

- Productive Friction vs. Frustration

Growth requires manageable challenge; AI must distinguish between beneficial struggle and demotivating overload

Solution: Co-calibration with teacher input + learner self-reporting mechanisms

- Algorithmic Bias & Representation

Training data imbalances may privilege certain dialects, cultures, or communication styles

Requirement: Diverse, inclusive datasets + ongoing bias audits + community co-design

- Data Ethics & Learner Agency

Physiological/affective data collection demands explicit consent, transparency, and opt-out pathways

Best practice: Learner dashboards showing what data is used and how adaptations are made

Ethical Design Frameworks for AI in L2 Education

Principles for Human-Centered, Contextually Conscious Systems

- **Transparency & Explainability**
  - "Why did the AI change this input?" logs accessible to learners and teachers
  - Avoid black-box adaptations that undermine pedagogical intentionality
- **Learner Sovereignty**
  - Granular control over data sharing and adaptation preferences
  - Right to "reset" personalization or request human-mediated input
- **Educator Partnership**
  - AI as tool for teacher augmentation, not replacement
  - Dashboards providing actionable insights for instructional decision-making
- **Alignment with Global Guidelines**
  - UNESCO's *AI and Education: Guidance for Policy-makers* (2023)
  - EU AI Act (2024) provisions for high-risk educational systems
  - Local frameworks: Te Tiriti o Waitangi principles in Aotearoa NZ

Key Takeaways & Call to Action

Core Argument:
AI enables a paradigm shift from *comprehensible input* to *contextually conscious input*

Critical Balance:
Personalization must serve growth, not comfort

Imperatives for Stakeholders:

Researchers: Prioritize longitudinal, cross-cultural studies on AI-mediated input efficacy

Developers: Embed ethical frameworks, bias mitigation, and learner agency by design

Educators: Co-design AI tools; maintain pedagogical intentionality in technology integration

Policy-makers: Fund research; develop agile, human-rights-based AI governance for education

Future Vision:
AI systems that don't just teach language; but honor the whole learner, fostering not only proficiency but confidence, identity, and intercultural humanity.

CAPITAL THINKING.
GLOBALLY MINDED.
MAI I TE IHO KI TE PAE

VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF
WELLINGTON
TE HERENGA WAKA